arXiv:1612.08193v1 [math.DS] 24 Dec 2016

AN EXPLICIT COMPACT UNIVERSAL SPACE FOR REAL FLOWS

YONATAN GUTMAN AND LEI JIN

Abstract. The Kakutani-Bebutov Theorem (1968) states that any compact metric real flow whose fixed point set is homeomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ embeds into the Bebutov flow, the $\mathbb{R}$-shift on $C(\mathbb{R},[0,1])$. An interesting fact is that this universal space is a function space. However, it is not compact, nor locally compact. We construct an explicit countable product of compact subspaces of the Bebutov flow which is a universal space for all compact metric real flows, with no restriction; namely, into which any compact metric real flow embeds. The result is compared to previously known universal spaces.

1. Introduction

A tuple (X,G) is called a *topological dynamical system* if X is a topological space, G is a topological group with the unit e_G, and $\Gamma : G\times X\to X, (g,x)\mapsto gx$ is a continuous mapping satisfying $\Gamma(e_G,x)=x$ and $\Gamma(g_1,\Gamma(g_2,x))=\Gamma(g_1g_2,x)$ for each $g_1,g_2\in G$ and $x\in X$. The most studied topological dynamical systems are those where $G=\mathbb{Z}$ or $G=\mathbb{R}$. For the case $G=\mathbb{R}$ we say that $(X,\mathbb{R})$ is a *real flow* (or a *flow*, for short). In this paper, we concentrate on real flows. A flow $(X,\mathbb{R})$ is said to be a compact metric flow (resp. a separable metric flow, etc.) if X is a compact metric space (resp. a separable metric space, etc.). We will mainly focus on compact metric flows.

There are many examples of real flows. In fact, there are natural constructions for passing from a $\mathbb{Z}$-action to a flow, and vice versa [BS02, Section 1.11]. Let (X,T) be a $\mathbb{Z}$-action, meaning that X is a compact metric space and T is a homeomorphism of X. Let $f:X\to\mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function bounded away from 0. Consider the quotient space

$$S_fX=\{(x,t)\in X\times\mathbb{R}^+ : 0\le t\le f(x)\}/\sim,$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation $(x,f(x))\sim(Tx,0)$. The *suspension* (flow) over (X,T) generated by the *roof function* f is the flow $(S_fX,(\psi_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ given by

$$\psi_t(x,s)=(T^nx,s') \text{ for } t\in\mathbb{R} \text{ and } (x,s)\in S_fX,$$

where n and s' satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}f(T^ix)+s'=t+s,\ \ 0\le s'\le f(T^nx).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B05; 54H20.

Key words and phrases. Kakutani-Bebutov theorem, compact metric flow, Bebutov flow, Bernstein space, universal space, compactification, equivariant embedding.

Y.G. was partially supported by the Marie Curie grant PCIG12-GA-2012-334564. The authors were partially supported by the National Science Center (Poland) grant 2013/08/A/ST1/00275.

In other words, flow along $\{x\}\times\mathbb{R}^+$ to $(x, f(x))$ then continue from $(Tx, 0)$ (which is the same as $(x, f(x))$) along $\{Tx\} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and so on. Since X is a compact metric space, the suspension is also a compact metric flow.

It is natural to seek one compact metric flow whose subflows exhaust all compact metric flows up to isomorphism. Moreover, the simpler this flow is the better. Formally, let $(X, \mathbb{R}) = (X, (\varphi_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(Y, \mathbb{R}) = (Y, (\phi_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}})$ be two flows. We say that $(Y, \mathbb{R})$ *embeds* into $(X, \mathbb{R})$ if there exists an $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant homeomorphism of Y onto a subspace of X; that is, there is a homeomorphism $f : Y \to f(Y) \subset X$ such that $f \circ \phi_r = \varphi_r \circ f$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Such a map f is called an *embedding* of the flow $(Y, \mathbb{R})$ into the flow $(X, \mathbb{R})$. We note that in this definition $f(Y)$ is equipped with the subspace topology. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a family of flows. The flow $(X, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be a *universal flow* (or sometimes said to be a *universal space*) for $\mathcal{C}$ if all $(Y, \mathbb{R}) \in \mathcal{C}$ embed into $(X, \mathbb{R})$. The more canonical the universal space is the more useful is its universality.

Natural candidates for universal flows are function spaces. Let $C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ be the metric space of all continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. Let $\mathbb{R} = (\varphi_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}}$ act on $C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ as follows (the $\mathbb{R}$-shift): $(\varphi_r f)(x) = f(x + r)$ for each $x, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$. We denote this flow by $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$, the $\mathbb{R}$-shift on $C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$. [1] The flow $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$ is called the Bebutov flow [Aus88, Chapter 13]. The terminology differs from the one appearing in very early articles [Nem54, Kon78]. In the present paper, we follow Auslander's terminology [Aus88, Chapter 13]. The following is the famous Kakutani-Bebutov theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(X, \mathbb{R}) = (X, (\varphi_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}})$ be a compact metric flow and $F = \{x \in X : \varphi_r x = x \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the fixed point set of the flow. If F is homeomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{R}$, then $(X, \mathbb{R})$ embeds into the Bebutov flow $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$.*

The embeddability of a compact metric flow in $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$ was first proven by Bebutov (see [Beb40, Nem54]) under the assumption that the fixed point set has at most two points. The general version was proven by Kakutani [Kak68] in 1968 (see also [Aus88, Chapter 13]). In particular, any compact metric flow with no fixed points embeds into $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$. This is a wonderful theorem, [2] and it is very satisfying that $C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ of the universal space is a function space. However, we remark that in this theorem, the compact metric flow $(X, \mathbb{R})$ needs to satisfy some additional conditions; meanwhile, the universal space $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$ is not compact, nor locally compact. This is unfortunate. The first is unfortunate because the theorem does not encompass all compact metric real flows. The second is unfortunate as one would like the universal space to belong to the family for which it is universal; namely, we would like the universal space to be a compact metric real flow.

[1] If one tries to endow $C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ with the topology induced by the metric $d(f, g) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |f(x) - g(x)|$ where $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$, then $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$ is no longer a topological dynamical system.

[2] The condition appearing in the theorem is necessary as the fixed point set of $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$ consists of all constants in $[0, 1]$. Thus, $(X, \mathbb{R})$ embeds into $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]), \mathbb{R})$ if and only if F (topologically) embeds into $\mathbb{R}$.

Our aim is to find a compact metric universal flow for all compact metric flows; at the same time, as *simple* or *canonical* as possible. Indeed, we also would like our universal flow to be as "close" to the Bebutov flow $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]),\mathbb{R})$ as possible. However, trivially the Bebutov flow is not universal, nor is any finite product of it. [3] So we turn to considering the countable product $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$. More precisely, let $C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ be the metric space of all continuous functions $f:\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the metric

$$d(f,g)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{||f_n-g_n||_{L^\infty([-N,N])}}{2^{n+N}}$$

for every $f=(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, g=(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$, and let $\mathbb{R}=(\varphi_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}}$ act on $C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ as follows: for any $r\in\mathbb{R}$ and any $f\in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$, $(\varphi_r f)(x)=f(x+r)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$. It is well known that all compact metric spaces embed into the compact metric space $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$. This can be used to show in a straightforward manner that $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$ is a universal flow for all compact metric flows (for exact details see Section 2). Note that this flow is a complete separable metric flow; however, it is not compact nor locally compact.

In this paper, we find an explicit countable product of compact subspaces of the Bebutov flow $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]),\mathbb{R})$ which is a universal space for all compact metric flows, with no restriction. The key idea for the solution is to find a countable family of compact function spaces which allow to "separate points" equivariantly for each compact metric flow. These spaces surprisingly appeared in a related problem for $\mathbb{Z}$-actions.

For $\mathbb{Z}$-actions (X,T) one can similarly consider the embeddability for (X,T). It is easy to see that the shift on $([0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is universal. But an important and much more difficult question is: for which spaces the shift on $([0,1]^N)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ (where $N\in\mathbb{N}$) is universal? Here we briefly state some related results. Under the conditions that the space X is finite dimensional and (X,T) has no periodic points, Jaworski [Jaw74] showed in 1974 that (X,T) embeds into the shift on $[0,1]^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then the first author [Gut15] extended this result to the case of finite dimensional systems having reasonable amount of periodic points. We mention that the embedding problem is very close to the theory of mean dimension which was introduced by Gromov [Gro99] and systematically developed by Lindenstrauss and Weiss [Lin99, LW00]. Recently, Tsukamoto and the first author [GT15] proved, using Fourier and complex analysis, that any minimal system of mean dimension strictly less than $N/2$ embeds into the shift on $([0,1]^N)^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We remark that the value $N/2$ is optimal because Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto [LT14, Theorem 1.3] constructed a minimal system of mean dimension $N/2$ which never embeds into the shift on $([0,1]^N)^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We now present the main result of our paper. Before we state our main result, we recall some necessary notions and results. We will use the theory of harmonic analysis. First recall that a *rapidly decreasing function* f is an infinitely differentiable function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}x^n f^{(j)}(x)=0$$

[3] The fixed point set of $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^N),\mathbb{R})$ (where N is a natural number) is $[0,1]^N$ into which not every compact metric flow embeds.

for all $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $S(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all rapidly decreasing functions equipped with the topology given by the family of seminorms

$$||f||_{j,n} = \sup |x^n f^{(j)}(x)|.$$

This topology on $S(\mathbb{R})$ is metrizable and $S(\mathbb{R})$ is complete; namely, $S(\mathbb{R})$ becomes a Fréchet space. We call a continuous linear functional on $S(\mathbb{R})$ a *tempered distribution* on $\mathbb{R}$ (for details see [Kat04, Chapter VI.4]).

For L^1-functions (in particular, rapidly decreasing functions) $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, the definition of the Fourier transforms is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\xi} f(t)dt, \ \ \overline{\mathcal{F}}(f)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\xi} f(\xi)d\xi.$$

We have $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}(f)) = \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}(f)) = f$. The operators $\mathcal{F}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ may be extended to tempered distributions in a standard way, in particular, to bounded continuous functions (for details see [Sch66, Chapter 7]).

Let $a < b$ be two real numbers. We define $B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])$ as the space of all bounded continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\text{supp}\mathcal{F}(f) \subset [a,b]$ and $||f||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$. The space $B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])$ is a subspace of the Bernstein space. [4] An important nontrivial fact is that $B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])$ is a compact metric space with respect to the distance $\boldsymbol{d}$ defined by

$$\boldsymbol{d}(f_1, f_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{||f_1 - f_2||_{L^\infty([-n,n])}}{2^n}$$

where $f_1, f_2 \in B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])$, which coincides with the standard topology of tempered distributions (see [GT15, Lemma 2.3], [Sch66, Chapter 7, Section 4]).

We then define the flow $(B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b]), \mathbb{R}) = (B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b]), (\tau_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}})$ as follows: for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $f \in B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])$, we define $(\tau_r f)(t) = f(t+r)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By noting that $\text{supp}\mathcal{F}(\tau_r f) = \text{supp}\mathcal{F}(f)$ (see [Kat04]) we can easily check that $(B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b]), \mathbb{R})$ is indeed a flow. Since $B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])$ is a compact metric space, we obtain a compact metric flow $(B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b]), \mathbb{R})$, the $\mathbb{R}$-shift on $B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])$.

Let

$$B_1V_a^b = B_1^{\mathbb{R}}(V[a,b]) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : f \in B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])\} \subset C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$$

which is the space of all real functions in $B_1^{\mathbb{C}}(V[a,b])$. Then in the same way, we get a compact metric flow $(B_1V_a^b, \mathbb{R})$, the $\mathbb{R}$-shift on $B_1V_a^b$. These flows are compact metric subflows of the Bebutov flow $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]), \mathbb{R})$, and will be used to construct the explicit universal flow in our main theorem:

Theorem 1.2 (Main theorem)**.** *Let $M = \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} B_1V_{-n}^n$. Then the compact metric flow $(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a universal flow for all compact metric flows.*

Note that the compact metric universal space we provide is a countable product of compact subspaces of the Bebutov flow $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]), \mathbb{R})$, and therefore explicitly embeds into $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$.

[4] The Bernstein space is the space of all bounded continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{supp}\mathcal{F}(f) \subset [a,b]$ (not necessarily uniformly bounded, see [OU09]).

We remark here that there are some previously known results related to universal flows. We will give two of universal flows in Section 4. Other constructions are possible. To start, there is a quite straightforward construction of universal spaces by considering the graphs of functions in the space $C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$. Secondly, there is a C^*-algebraic approach due to de Vries. However, both these approaches build universal spaces which do not explicitly embed into $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show that any compact metric flow embeds into $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$. In Section 3, we show that any compact subflow of $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$ embeds into $(\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} B_1 V_{-n}^n, \mathbb{R})$, which essentially completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. Finally, Section 4 compares our explicit construction in the main result with some previously known universal spaces. The paper ends with open questions.

2. A natural noncompact universal flow

In this section, we embed all compact metric flows into the countable product of the Bebutov flow, namely, the flow $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$. Note that $C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ exactly consists of all those functions $f = (f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $f_i \in C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The flow $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$ is a universal flow for all compact metric flows $(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Write $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R}) = (C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), (\psi_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}})$, and let $(X, \mathbb{R}) = (X, (\varphi_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}})$ be a compact metric flow. Since X is a compact metric space, there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : X \to \phi(X) \subset [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ (for details see [Mun00, Theorem 34.1]). Define $F : X \to C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ as follows: for every $x \in X$, $F(x)(t) = \phi(\varphi_t(x))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\phi : X \to [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ is continuous and $\varphi_t(x)$ is continuous with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we know that for every $x \in X$, $F(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$.

For any $x \in X$, let us write $\phi(x) = (\phi_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$. Denote by d_X the metric on X and by d the metric on $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$. Since X is compact and ϕ is continuous, ϕ is uniformly continuous on X. Fix $x \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\xi > 0$ such that whenever $z_1, z_2 \in X$ with $d_X(z_1, z_2) < \xi$ we have $|\phi_n(z_1) - \phi_n(z_2)| < \epsilon$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \le m$. Since X is compact, for such $\xi > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $y \in X$ satisfying $d_X(y, x) < \delta$ we have $d_X(\varphi_t(y), \varphi_t(x)) < \xi$ for all $t \in [-N, N]$, which implies that

$$||F(y)_n - F(x)_n||_{L^\infty([-N,N])} = \sup_{t\in[-N,N]} |\phi_n(\varphi_t(y)) - \phi_n(\varphi_t(x))| \le \epsilon$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \le m$. Thus, for every $x \in X$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ we can find $\delta > 0$ such that for all those $y \in X$ with $d_X(y, x) < \delta$ we have $d(F(y), F(x)) < \epsilon$. This shows that $F : X \to C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ is continuous.

It is clear that $F : X \to F(X)$ is one-to-one since φ_t and ϕ are homeomorphisms.

Since X is compact and $F : X \to F(X)$ is continuous and one-to-one, we get that the map $F : X \to F(X)$ is a homeomorphism.

For every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$F(\varphi_r(x))(t) = \phi(\varphi_t(\varphi_r(x))) = \phi(\varphi_{t+r}(x)) = F(x)(t + r) = \psi_r(F(x))(t)$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which shows that F is $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant: $F \circ \varphi_r = \psi_r \circ F$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus, $(X, \mathbb{R})$ embeds into $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$. □

Next we consider compact subflows of the flow $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$. Let $(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $(Y, \mathbb{R})$ be flows. We say that $(Y, \mathbb{R})$ is a *subflow* (or sometimes, a *subspace*) of $(X, \mathbb{R})$ if Y is an $\mathbb{R}$-invariant subset of X endowed with the subspace topology and $\mathbb{R}$ acts as the restriction on Y. In order to prove Theorem 1.2 it suffices to show that $(M, \mathbb{R})$ is universal for all compact subflows of $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $M = \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (B_1 V_{-n}^n)^n$. Then the compact metric flow $(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a universal flow for all compact subflows of $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$.*

Clearly, $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (B_1 V_{-n}^n)^n$ is a subspace of $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} B_1 V_{-n}^n$. For simplicity we write

$$M = \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} B_1 V_{-n}^n$$

in the statement of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 assuming Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a compact metric flow. According to Lemma 2.1, $(X, \mathbb{R})$ embeds into $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$ via an embedding f. Since X is compact, $(f(X), \mathbb{R})$ is a compact subflow of $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$. Thus, by Theorem 2.1, $(f(X), \mathbb{R})$ embeds into $(M, \mathbb{R})$, which means that $(X, \mathbb{R})$ embeds into $(M, \mathbb{R})$. This ends the proof. □

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Take a continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ as follows (a tent function): $\psi(x) = 0$ for $x \in (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, +\infty)$; $\psi(0) = 1$; and ψ is linear on both $[-1, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\psi_n(x) = \psi(x/n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\varphi_n = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\psi_n)$. Then we have the following:

Claim. $(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of functions over $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $\varphi_n \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_n(t)dt = 1$, $\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(\varphi_n) \subset [-n, n]$; and for every $h \in C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ and every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that $||h * \varphi_n - h||_{L^\infty([-N,N])} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where $h * \varphi_n$ denotes the convolution of h and φ_n. [5]

In fact, put $\varphi = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\psi)$, then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\psi)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}xt}\psi(t)dt \\
&= 2\int_0^{+\infty} \psi(t)\cos(2\pi xt)dt = 2\int_0^1 (1-t)\cos(2\pi xt)dt \\
&= \frac{2\sin(2\pi x)}{2\pi x} - \frac{2}{(2\pi x)^2}(2\pi x\sin(2\pi x) + \cos(2\pi x) - 1) = \frac{1-\cos(2\pi x)}{2\pi^2 x^2} \\
&= \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2 x^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

[5] Note that $(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called a positive summability kernel (see [Kat04, Chapters I.2, VII.2]).

Thus, $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $\varphi \geq 0$, and it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin^2(\pi x)}{\pi^2 x^2}dx = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin^2 t}{t^2}dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin^2 t d(\frac{1}{t}) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin(2t)}{t}dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin t}{t}dt = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by noting that it holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\varphi_n(x) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\psi_n)(x) = n\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\psi)(nx) = n\varphi(nx),$$

we have that $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $\varphi_n \geq 0$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_n(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n(t)|dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} n\varphi(nx)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)dt = 1.$$

Take $h \in C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Since for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_n(t)dt = 1$, it holds that

$$|h * \varphi_n(x) - h(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (h(x-t) - h(x))\varphi_n(t)dt \right|$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then we can choose $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that $|h(y_1) - h(y_2)| < \epsilon/2$ whenever $y_1, y_2 \in [-N-1, N+1]$ with $|y_1 - y_2| < \delta$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(y)|dy < \infty$, there exists $A > 0$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-A,A]} |\varphi(y)|dy < \epsilon/4$. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m\delta > A$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$, we have $n\delta > m\delta > A$ and hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-\delta,\delta]} |\varphi_n(t)|dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-\delta,\delta]} n|\varphi(nt)|dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-n\delta,n\delta]} |\varphi(y)|dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-A,A]} |\varphi(y)|dy < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|h * \varphi_n(x) - h(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x-t) - h(x)| \cdot |\varphi_n(t)|dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-\delta,\delta]} |h(x-t) - h(x)| \cdot |\varphi_n(t)|dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |h(x-t) - h(x)| \cdot |\varphi_n(t)|dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-\delta,\delta]} 2||h||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot |\varphi_n(t)|dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot |\varphi_n(t)|dt \\ &\leq 2\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-\delta,\delta]} |\varphi_n(t)|dt + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n(t)|dt \\ &< 2 \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in [-N, N]$. Thus,

$$||h * \varphi_n - h||_{L^\infty([-N,N])} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Finally, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(\varphi_n) = \mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\psi_n)) = \mathrm{supp}\psi_n \subset [-n, n].$$

This proves the claim.

Let $(X,\mathbb{R})$ be a compact subflow of $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$. We are going to embed $(X,\mathbb{R})$ into $(M,\mathbb{R})$. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we define $F_n : C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})\to (B_1V_{-n}^n)^n$ as follows:

$$F_n(f)=F_n((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}})=(f_i*\varphi_n)_{i\in\{1,\cdots,n\}},$$

where $f=(f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$.

We should check that $f_i*\varphi_n\in B_1V_{-n}^n$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $f_i\in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1])$. In fact, since $||f_i||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\le 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\varphi_n(y)|dy=1$, we have

$$|f_i*\varphi_n(x)|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i(x-y)\varphi_n(y)dy\right|\le\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\varphi_n(y)|dy=1$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$, which shows that $||f_i*\varphi_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\le 1$. Fix $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon>0$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\varphi_n(y)|dy<\infty$, we can choose $A>0$ sufficiently large such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-A,A]}|\varphi_n(y)|dy<\epsilon/4$. Since f_i is uniformly continuous on $[x_0-A-1,x_0+A+1]$, there exists $\delta\in(0,1)$ such that $|f_i(x_1)-f_i(x_2)|<\epsilon/2$ for any $x_1,x_2\in[x_0-A-1,x_0+A+1]$ with $|x_1-x_2|<\delta$. Hence for any $x\in(x_0-\delta,x_0+\delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f_i*\varphi_n(x)-f_i*\varphi_n(x_0)|\\
\le&\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f_i(x-y)-f_i(x_0-y)|\cdot|\varphi_n(y)|dy\\
\le&\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-A,A]}2||f_i||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\cdot|\varphi_n(y)|dy+\int_{-A}^{A}|f_i(x-y)-f_i(x_0-y)|\cdot|\varphi_n(y)|dy\\
\le&2\cdot\frac{\epsilon}{4}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\cdot\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\varphi_n(y)|dy=\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f_i*\varphi_n$ is continuous. It is clear that $f_i*\varphi_n$ is a real function. By noting that $\mathcal{F}(f_i*\varphi_n)=\mathcal{F}(f_i)\cdot\mathcal{F}(\varphi_n)$ (see [Kat04, Chapters VI.2, VI.4]) and the fact that $\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(\varphi_n)\subset[-n,n]$, we have

$$\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(f_i*\varphi_n)\subset\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(f_i)\cap\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(\varphi_n)\subset\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{F}(\varphi_n)\subset[-n,n].$$

Thus, $f_i*\varphi_n\in B_1V_{-n}^n$.

For $f\in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$, define $F(f)=(F_n(f))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(B_1V_{-n}^n)^n$; namely,

$$F((f_1,f_2,f_3,\cdots))=(f_1*\varphi_1;f_1*\varphi_2,f_2*\varphi_2;f_1*\varphi_3,f_2*\varphi_3,f_3*\varphi_3;\cdots\cdots),$$

where $(f_1,f_2,f_3,\cdots)\in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$. Then we obtain a map $F:C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})\to M$.

Consider $F:X\to M$. It suffices to show that the map F is an embedding of the flow $(X,\mathbb{R})$ into the flow $(M,\mathbb{R})$.

Write $(X,\mathbb{R})=(X,(\phi_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(M,\mathbb{R})=(M,(\tau_r)_{r\in\mathbb{R}})$. Then for every $r\in\mathbb{R}$ and every $f=(f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\in X$, we have the following:

$$F(\phi_r(f))=\big((\phi_r(f_i)*\varphi_n)_{1\le i\le n,i\in\mathbb{N}}\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\quad \tau_r(F(f))=\big((\tau_r(f_i*\varphi_n))_{1\le i\le n,i\in\mathbb{N}}\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Since it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_r(f_i)*\varphi_n(x)&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi_r(f_i)(x-t)\varphi_n(t)dt\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_i(x+r-t)\varphi_n(t)dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$= f_i * \varphi_n(x + r)$$
$$= \tau_r(f_i * \varphi_n)(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we get that $F(\phi_r(f)) = \tau_r(F(f))$. This indicates that $F : X \to M$ is $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant: for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $F \circ \phi_r = \tau_r \circ F$.

It remains to check that the map $F : X \to F(X)$ is a homeomorphism.

Take $f = (f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that the metrics on $X \subset C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ and $B_1V_{-n}^n$ are denoted by d and $\boldsymbol{d}$ respectively. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $A > 0$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-A,A]} |\varphi_n(t)|dt < \epsilon/4$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for every $g = (g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X$ with $d(g, f) < \delta$ it holds that $|g_i(y) - f_i(y)| < \epsilon/2$ for all $y \in [-A - N, A + N]$. Thus, for each $x \in [-N, N]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|g_i * \varphi_n(x) - f_i * \varphi_n(x)| \leq& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g_i(x - t) - f_i(x - t)| \cdot |\varphi_n(t)|dt\\ \leq& \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-A,A]} 2 \cdot |\varphi_n(t)|dt + \int_{-A}^{A} |g_i(x - t) - f_i(x - t)| \cdot |\varphi_n(t)|dt\\ \leq& 2 \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n(t)|dt = \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Hence for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $g = (g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X$ with $d(g, f) < \delta$ it holds that $\boldsymbol{d}(g_i * \varphi_n, f_i * \varphi_n) < \epsilon$. This, together with the fact that $F(X)$ is a subspace of $M = \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(B_1V_{-n}^n)^n$ equipped with the product topology, proves that $F : X \to F(X)$ is continuous.

To see that $F : X \to F(X)$ is one-to-one, we take $f = (f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $g = (g_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X with $f \neq g$. This implies that there is $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $N > 0$ such that $||f_i - g_i||_{L^\infty([-N,N])} > 0$. Since we have by the Claim that $||f_i*\varphi_n - f_i||_{L^\infty([-N,N])} \to 0$ and $||g_i*\varphi_n - g_i||_{L^\infty([-N,N])} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||f_i * \varphi_n - g_i * \varphi_n||_{L^\infty([-N,N])} > 0$, which implies that $f_i * \varphi_n \neq g_i * \varphi_n$. Therefore, F is injective.

Since $X \subset C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ is compact and $F : X \to F(X)$ is continuous and one-to-one, we obtain that $F : X \to F(X)$ is a homeomorphism. This completes the proof. □

4. Final remarks

In this section, we discuss some other approaches and previously known results related to universal flows, and compare them with our explicit construction.

Let us start by providing a straightforward construction of a compact metric universal flow. By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to find a compact metric flow $(M_1, \mathbb{R})$ into which $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbb{R})$ embeds. It then results in a compact metric universal flow $(M_1, \mathbb{R})$ for all compact metric flows. To do this, we consider the graphs of bounded continuous functions over bounded closed intervals. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by G_n the space of all nonempty closed connected subsets of $[-n, n] \times [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the Hausdorff distance. Since $[-n, n] \times [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a compact metric space, G_n is compact for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [Nad92, Chapter IV]), and thus the

product $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} G_n$ is also a compact metric space. Let

$$M_1 = \overline{\left\{(\mathfrak{g}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} G_n : \mathfrak{g}_m|_{[-n,n]} = \mathfrak{g}_n \text{ for all } m,n\in\mathbb{N} \text{ with } m\geq n\right\}} \subset \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} G_n.$$

Then M_1 is a compact metric space as well. Let $\mathbb{R}$ act on M_1 as the $\mathbb{R}$-shift in a natural way, then $(M_1,\mathbb{R})$ becomes a compact metric flow. Now it is easy to embed $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$ into $(M_1,\mathbb{R})$ as follows. Notice that the graph of $f\in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ restricted on any bounded closed interval is compact. We define a mapping $\Phi : C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}) \to M_1$ by $\Phi(f) = \left(\text{Graph}\left(f|_{[-n,n]}\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in M_1$ for any $f\in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})$. It is not hard to check that the mapping Φ is indeed an embedding of the flow $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$ into the flow $(M_1,\mathbb{R})$.

We now describe an abstract method, due to J. de Vries [Vri77, Theorem 2.10], to compactify $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$ using the theory of C^*-algebras. The existence of a universal space follows. Recall that $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$ is a separable metric flow. Denote $(X,\mathbb{R}) = (C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$. Notice that X is a completely regular Hausdorff space. Denote by $C_u(X)$ the Banach algebra of all complex-valued bounded continuous functions on X with the supremum norm. By a C_1^*-subalgebra of $C_u(X)$ we mean a closed subalgebra of $C_u(X)$ containing the constants and closed under complex conjugation. For an $\mathbb{R}$-action on X we can naturally consider the induced $\mathbb{R}$-action on $C_u(X)$ by $(tf)(x) = f(tx)$ for any $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $f\in C_u(X)$, and $x\in X$. In general, this action is not continuous on $\mathbb{R}\times C_u(X)$. We denote by $UC(X)$ the set of all $\mathbb{R}$-uniformly continuous functions on X; equivalently, $UC(X)$ exactly equals the set of all those functions $f\in C_u(X)$ satisfying that the mapping $\mathbb{R}\times C_u(X)\ni(t,g)\mapsto tg\in C_u(X)$ is continuous at all points of $\mathbb{R}\times\{f\}$. One first verifies that the subset $UC(X)$ of $C_u(X)$ is actually an $\mathbb{R}$-invariant C_1^*-subalgebra of $C_u(X)$. Next we let M_2 be the Gelfand representation of $UC(X)$ (see e.g., [Kat04, Chapter VIII.3]). By well known theorems (see e.g., [Kat04, Chapter VIII],[GRS64, Chapter 43]), M_2 is a compact Hausdorff space, and there is a canonical continuous mapping $\phi : X\to M_2$ which is an embedding. Thus, M_2 is a compactification of X. One can show straightforwardly that M_2 is in fact an $\mathbb{R}$-space such that $\phi : X\to M_2$ is $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant. Lastly, by a clever argument, J. de Vries [Vri77, Proposition 2.10] shows that M_2 is metrizable. This means that via the $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant mapping $\phi : X\to M_2$, the flow $(X,\mathbb{R})$ embeds into the compact metric flow $(M_2,\mathbb{R})$. Notice that this method is nonconstructive: the space M_2 is not explicit. However, the technique works not only for $\mathbb{R}$-actions but also for locally compact group actions.

As both approaches yield compact metric (universal) spaces $(M_1,\mathbb{R})$ and $(M_2,\mathbb{R})$, these spaces embed into $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$ as well. However, in contrast to $(M,\mathbb{R})$ which was explicitly constructed as a subspace of $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$, embeddings of $(M_1,\mathbb{R})$ and $(M_2,\mathbb{R})$ into $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}),\mathbb{R})$ are far from explicit.

Finally, we pose a question in the following, which is related to Theorem 1.1.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the family of all compact metric flows $(X,\mathbb{R})$ whose fixed point set $F(X,\mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Question 4.1. *Can one construct an explicit compact subflow $(P,\mathbb{R})$ of the Bebutov flow $(C(\mathbb{R},[0,1]),\mathbb{R})$ which is universal for $\mathcal{C}$?*

In fact, to get a compact metric flow which is universal for $\mathcal{C}$ is easy, because by the same argument as in the first approach in this section, it follows that there is a compactification $(S, \mathbb{R})$ of the Bebutov flow $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]), \mathbb{R})$, which is a compact metric universal flow for $\mathcal{C}$. But $(S, \mathbb{R})$ no longer embeds into $(C(\mathbb{R}, [0,1]), \mathbb{R})$ as its fixed point set $F(S, \mathbb{R})$ is not homeomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{R}$; indeed, $F(S, \mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to the space of all nonempty closed subintervals of $[0,1]$ (equipped with the Hausdorff distance), which is not homeomorphic to a subset of $[0,1]$. [6] Thus, it seems that the difficulty lies in controlling the fixed point set of a candidate universal space. As far as we know, even the non-explicit version of the question is open:

Question 4.2. *Is there a flow in $\mathcal{C}$ which is universal for $\mathcal{C}$?*

References

[Aus88] J. Auslander, *Minimal flows and their extensions*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, Elsevier, 1988.

[Beb40] M.V. Bebutov, *On dynamical systems in the space of continuous functions*, Byull. Moskov. Gos. Univ. Mat., 2, no.5, 1–52, 1940.

[BS02] M. Brin and G. Stuck, *Introduction to dynamical systems*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[GRS64] I. Gelfand, D. Raikov and G. Shilov, *Commutative normed rings*, Chelsea, New York, 1964.

[Gro99] M. Gromov, *Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps: I*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., 2, 323–415, 1999.

[Gut15] Y. Gutman, *Mean dimension and Jaworski-type theorems*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 111(4), 831–850, 2015.

[GT15] Y. Gutman and M. Tsukamoto, *Embedding minimal dynamical systems into Hilbert cubes*, arXiv:1511.01802, 2015.

[Jaw74] A. Jaworski, *Ph.D. Thesis*, University of Maryland, 1974.

[Kak68] S. Kakutani, *A proof of Beboutov's theorem*, Journal of Differential Equations, 4(2), 194–201, 1968.

[Kat04] Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[Kon78] S. Kono, *The structure of Bebutov dynamical system*, Proc. Japan Acad., 54(A), no.7, 1978.

[Lin99] E. Lindenstrauss, *Mean dimension, small entropy factors and an embedding theorem*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 89, 227–262, 1999.

[LT14] E. Lindenstrauss and M. Tsukamoto, *Mean dimension and an embedding problem: an example*, Israel J. Math., 199, 573–584, 2014.

[LW00] E. Lindenstrauss and B. Weiss, *Mean topological dimension*, Israel J. Math., 115, 1–24, 2000.

[Mun00] J. Munkres, *Topology*, 2nd edition, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2000.

[Nad92] S.B. Nadler, *Continuum theory: An introduction*, New York, Marcel Dekker, 1992.

[Nem54] V.V. Nemytskii, *Topological problems in the theory of dynamical systems*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, no.103, 1954.

[OU09] A. Olevskii and A. Ulanovskii, *Interpolation in Bernstein and Paley-Wiener spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 256(10), 3257–3278, 2009.

[Sch66] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1966.

[Vri77] J. de Vries, *Equivariant embeddings of G-spaces*, General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra IV, 485–493, 1977.

[6] In fact, it can be parameterized by the 2-dimensional space $\{(a,b) : 0 \le a \le b \le 1\}$.

Yonatan Gutman: Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: y.gutman@impan.pl

Lei Jin: Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: jinleim@mail.ustc.edu.cn